



Universidade de Vigo
Departamento de Economía Aplicada

Documento de Trabajo
0704

Unravelling the determinants of the non-use of health care
Begoña Álvarez
Marcos Vera-Hernández

Documentos de Trabajo

Decembro 2007

Departamento de Economía Aplicada
Universidade de Vigo
As Lagoas Marcosende S/N, 36310 –Vigo
Tfno: +34 986 812500 - Fax: +34 986 812401
<http://webs.uvigo.es/x06/>
E-mail: dep06@uvigo.es

Unravelling the determinants of the non-use of health care*

Begoña Álvarez

(Universidad de Vigo)

Marcos Vera-Hernández

(University College London and Institute for Fiscal Studies)

December 2007

Abstract

In this paper we provide a model and data structure to identify the determinants of health care use by low income children, and the channels through which they operate. The most distinguishing feature of our approach is that we decompose the decision to use health care into three stages. The advantage of our approach is that variables can influence each stage differently. We find that children living in towns with higher prevalence of violence are in higher need of health care but violence decreases the probability that the child will use health care conditional of the mother perceiving that health care will provide positive gross benefits. We also find that knowledge about self-care (measured by the percentage of women in the village that knows how to treat diarrhoea and by mother's education) decreases the probability that the mother perceives positive gross profits of obtaining health care. These relations are unmasked if we use a standard Probit model.

*We thank James Banks, Richard Blundell, Emla Fitzsimons, Sendhil Mullainathan, and participants at the seminar series of the Institute for Fiscal Studies (London), Geary Institute (Dublin), Universidad Carlos III de Madrid for their useful comments. All errors are our own responsibility. This article was supported by an unrestricted educational grant awarded jointly to the Universities Carlos III de Madrid and Pompeu Fabra de Barcelona by The Merck Foundation, the philanthropic arm of Merck Co. Inc., White House Station, New Jersey, USA.

1. INTRODUCTION

Poor children in most countries have the worst health outcomes. Several findings suggest that the adverse health effects of poverty accumulate over children's lives by affecting their future productivity and earnings, causing in that way an intergenerational poverty trap (Strauss and Thomas, 1998; Case et al., 2002; Currie and Stabile, 2003). This problem is particularly acute in developing countries, where the high incidence of malnutrition and infectious diseases in childhood seriously compromises the well-being of future generations.

Experience shows that access to good quality and heavily subsidized health services can help vulnerable people to break out of this poverty trap (World Bank, 2004, Commission on Macroeconomics and Health of the World Health Organization, 2001). In order to target appropriate health programmes at those most in need, it is very important to detect the barriers that prevent them from accessing health services. This is the scope of this paper.

Most of analyses interpret the access-to-care problem for poor children as a supply side phenomenon related to the availability of services or the opportunity of use. However, in contexts of deprivation, the demand side of access also plays a crucial role. For a mother to take the child to a health facility, she must be aware of its benefits in terms of the child's health. This subjective evaluation, probably determined by mother's education as well as public interventions that disseminate health related information, is an important missing piece of information in the empirical analysis of health care use.

The main contribution of this paper is to provide a model and data structure to identify the determinants of the use of health care by poor children and the channels through which they operate. The most distinguishing feature of our approach is that we decompose the decision to use health care into three stages. The first stage determines the child's need for health care. Conditional on need, the second stage determines the mother's perception of the benefits of professional care. Finally, the third stage determines the child's use of professional health care conditional on the previous stages of decision. The main benefit behind this approach is that the variables and, consequently, the policies that affect each stage are different. Furthermore, this structure may provide information to identify

complementarities among these policies in order to result in enhanced health care use by children.

In addition to explicitly modelling mother's perception, two other concerns are addressed in our modelling approach. Firstly, careful attention is paid to the definition of need for health care. In most empirical studies need is assimilated to illness (e.g. Dow, 1996; Akin et al., 1998). This implies that the analysis has to be restricted to curative services utilization. But, when interest focuses on children, this restriction seems unsatisfactory, because preventive care which is crucial at this stage of life¹. To overcome this limitation, we assume a broader concept of need for health care that includes both curative and preventive dimensions.

Our second concern deals with the identification of the determinants of each stage of decision. Selection bias may be an issue in this model if unobservable variables affecting the different stages of the decision are correlated. If this occurs, marginal effects from conditional estimates of the equation for use will be biased. To account for this potential source of bias, we specify a trivariate probit model with endogenous selection. The model is identified through exclusion restrictions.

The empirical analysis is carried out with Colombian data. There are several considerations that make this analysis important. These considerations are not unique to Colombia but are also relevant for other developing countries. In 1993, Colombia initiated a health reforms in response to efficiency, equity and quality problems in the delivery of medical services. The most important achievement of this reform was the enrollment of a large number of poor people into a subsidized health insurance system which targets low-income families and is financed through general taxes. However, one decade after, the lower income classes still face important barriers of access to health services. About 42.6% of total population is not insured under any system (Rodríguez-Monguío and Infante, 2003).

¹Promoting access to preventive health care is a popular policy option in resource constrained environments. Recently, families in developing countries are being paid for taking their children to regular medical check-ups. This is the case of Colombia, Costa Rica, Nicaraguas, Honduras, Brasil, Jamaica, Mexico, and Turkey (Vermehren, 2003).

The data for this study come from the Baseline Survey to evaluate the Colombian Program *Familias en Acción* (Attanasio *et al*, 2003). This dataset is very suitable for three reasons. Firstly, it targets poor population in rural and semi-urban areas. Secondly, it includes information that allows us to measure the different stages of health care use. Thirdly, it offers a wide variety of variables that allows us to implement the identification strategy to deal with endogenous selection.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section discusses the basic theoretical model as well as the econometric specification of the two equation model. The third section describes the institutional setting and the data sets. The empirical results are presented in the fourth section.

2. MODELLING STRATEGY

When studying health care utilization, careful attention should be paid on the modelling of non-users behaviour. This is because the occurrence of no contact with health care services can be attributed to either a situation in which the individual does not need health care, or to a corner solution which means that, at the prevailing prices and income, health care is not consumed. This has motivated some authors to decompose the decision process into two stages (e.g. Dow, 1996; Akin *et al.* 1998). The first stage determines the need and the second stage determines the use of services conditional on being in need. Generally, these models are estimated focusing only on curative care. Then, need is assimilated to illness. But, when interest focuses on children, this modelling approach is incomplete for two reasons. First, it requires excluding preventive care which is crucial in childhood ². Second, this modelling structure does not address explicitly the mother's role in the decision process, in particular the information that she has regarding self-treatment, as well as her beliefs about the benefits provided by professional health care. Our analysis tries to overcome these two

²Promoting access to preventive health care is a popular policy option in resource constrained environments. Recently, families in developing countries are being paid for taking their children to regular medical check-ups. This is the case of Colombia, Costa Rica, Nicaraguas, Honduras, Brasil, Jamaica, Mexico, and Turkey (Vermehren, 2003).

limitations.

2.1 Three stage model

Following most of literature on child health, we assume that parents (typically the mother) decide whether or not to seek professional health care. In our analysis, we consider this outcome as the result of a three- stage decision process. The first stage is driven by a process that determines the child's need for some type of health care³, i.e. it determines the capacity of the child's health (present or future) to be improved through either care provided at home or care provided by professional health services. This process depends on observable variables such as the child's biological characteristics (e.g. age, sex, birth order, etc.), parents' and household variables (e.g. parents' education and age, household income, etc.), the institutional regulations on preventive health care, and unobserved variables such as health shocks.

Once need has been determined, the mother is responsible for making the decision of whether external support from the health system is required. Assume the mother has the following utility function

$$U = U(h, y), \tag{1}$$

where h denotes the expected child's health stream (present and future) and y denotes household income. For any given level of health, the mother evaluates whether the child's health stream may improve through a visit to a professional health provider. Let us denote by h^* the expected health stream after receiving professional treatment, where

$$h^* = h_o + b^* \tag{2}$$

h_o represents the child's health stream with self-care or no-care and b^* denotes perceived

³Most empirical literature assimilates need to current health status. According to Culyer (1976) and Williams (1974, 1978), need can be defined as the capacity to benefit for health care. From this point of view, health status would be an over-simplistic measure of need because future health can be also improved through the current use of preventive care (Wagstaff and Van Doorslaer 2000). This is extremely important in developing countries where policy makers strongly promote preventive health care in childhood.

improvement in child's health achieved through a visit to a professional health provider. The mother will seek professional care if $b^* > 0$, i.e. if she perceives that professional health care increases child's health. Since the evaluation is made in a context of imperfect information, it is based on the mother's own perception of the benefits of curative and/or preventive health care. Then, it is expected that h^* depends on the availability of health information.

Conditional on having perceived a positive benefit of health care, the third stage models the mother's decision on whether or not taking the child to a professional health provider. This decision is undertaken by comparing cost and benefits of using health services. The net gains may be modelled as,

$$m^* = U(h^*, y - p) - U(h_0, y), \quad (3)$$

where p denotes the price of health care which in our setting includes costs associated to transportation to the health care provider.

From this framework we may derive an empirical model composed of three equations representing the determination of child's need for health care (s_i^*), the mother's perception of the benefits of professional care (b_i^*) and the net gains of using health services (m_i^*), i.e.

$$s_i^* = x_i' \alpha + \nu_i, \quad (4)$$

$$b_i^* = z_i' \beta + \varepsilon_i \quad \text{if } s_i^* > 0 \quad (5)$$

$$m_i^* = w_i' \gamma + \eta_i \quad \text{if } s_i^* > 0 \text{ and } b_i^* > 0, \quad (6)$$

where x_i , z_i , and w_i are vectors that includes child i 's characteristics, household' characteristics and health information.

The latent variables s_i^* , b_i^* and m_i^* are unobserved in practice, but we observe binary indicators, s_i , b_i and m_i , that relate to them through the following observability rules: $s_i = 1(s_i^* > 0)$, $b_i = 1(b_i^* > 0)$ and $m_i = 1(m_i^* > 0)$. In particular, s_i equals 1 if the child needs some health care and equals 0 otherwise; b_i equals 1 if the mother perceives positive

benefits of professional health care; and m_i equals 1 if the child effectively uses professional health care.

We assume that the joint distribution of the error terms ν_i, ε_i and η_i is trivariate standard Normal and it is characterised by three free correlations ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 . That is, there may be unobservable community, family and child specific components influencing the determination of children’s need for care, mother’s perception of the benefits of health care and the effective use of health care. These include, for example, unmeasured health knowledge, quality of services, preferences towards health care, risk aversion, subjective valuation of healthiness, time preference, unmeasured demographics, etc.. Under this assumption, equations (4) to (6) constitute a trivariate probit model with sample selection. The likelihood function is given in the appendix. Estimation by maximum likelihood requires evaluation of trivariate standard Normal distribution functions. To solve this computational problem, we use simulated maximum likelihood (GHK simulator). Nonparametric identification of the model requires to impose exclusion restrictions, that is, at least one variable in x_i should not be contained in z_i and w_i , and at least one variable in z_i should not be contained in w_i . Our identification strategy is presented in Section 3.

3. DATA AND EMPIRICAL METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data

The data used in this paper come from the baseline survey of *Familias en Acción* (SFA) -a program implemented by the Colombian government to incentive human capital accumulation among poor children living in small municipalities- collected in the summer of 2002. The municipalities targeted for the program, and therefore for the survey, verify the following requirements: i) have less than 100.000 individuals and are not the capital of a Regional Department, ii) have at least a bank, iii) have a minimum level of health and education infrastructure and iv) the major have shown interest in participating in *Familias en Acción* and have complied with the administrative task to participate in the program.

To obtain the sample, the municipalities were classified in 25 strata according to geo-

graphical region, population size living in the urban part of the municipality, the value of a synthetical index for quality of life⁴ (SISBEN), as well as education and health infrastructure. Within each strata, two primary sampling units were randomly selected among those where the *Familias en Accion* program was operating or was going to operate and two towns were selected among those where the *Familias en Accion* program was not going to operate. The control towns were chosen to be the most similar as possible to the randomly chosen treatment towns in terms of population size, percentage of households living in the urban part of the municipality, and index of quality of life. Within each municipality, a sample of households eligible to participate in *Familias en Accion* was collected. Eligible households were those registered in SISBEN 1 as of December 1999 that had children less than 18. Although the sample is not representative of the whole Colombian population, it is a valuable source of information about the poorest sector of population. About 96.6% of households in this sample live under the poverty line⁵. More details about the sampling can be obtained from Attanasio et al (2003).

Our analysis restricts to children ages 0 to 5. Children beyond age 5 are excluded since care received by children may be dictated in part by school regulations. For children in this sample we observe a rich set of information including household socio-demographic structure, dwelling conditions, household assets, mother's education, use of health care services, children's anthropometric indicators and household income, among others. There are 5,146 children below 6 years old in our sample, living in 122 municipalities.

⁴The System for the Selection of Beneficiaries of Social Programs (SISBEN) is an indicator of economic well being that is routinely collected in Colombia and that is used for the targeting of all welfare programs, most heavily for health subsidies established by Colombia's Social Security Law, as well as for the pricing of utilities. The principal tool of SISBEN is a household survey comprising sixty-to questions on the head of household education achievement, children educational attendance, dwelling conditions, etc, which disclose and measure levels of poverty and whose responses when precessed generate a family-level composite quality-of-life indicator. Responses to survey questions are weighted and combined so as to generate for each family a score between 0 and 100 which allows to classify them in one of six SISBEN levels. Only those with scores in levels 1 and 2 are generally eligible for social subsidies.

⁵The authors use a poverty line that is standard in rural Colombia and equals to 149,052 pesos per capita per month.

3.2 Measuring need for health care, perceived benefits of health services and use

The first dependent variable in our analysis is the children’s need for health care. In this paper, we use a wide concept that refers to need for any type of health care, curative or preventive⁶. We classify a child as having curative need if she had at least one common symptom suggestive of acute respiratory infection (diagnosed as fever with cough), diarrhea or other illnesses during the two weeks preceding the date of the interview. We classify a child as being in need for preventive care if, two weeks before the interview, she had not complied with the recommended vaccinations and preventive check-ups for her age. The SFA information on prevention is derived from vaccination and Growth & Development cards that register the dates that vaccinations were administered and preventive health care visits done. If the mother could not show a card, she is asked for vaccinations and preventive visits in the last 12 months. This information allows us to compute the actual number of G&D check-ups that a child has had during the last 12 months as well as the diphtheria-pertussis-and-tetanus (DPT) vaccination doses she has received up to the moment to the interview.⁷ By comparing this information with the recommended visits established by the national guideline we determine whether or not the child needs preventive care.⁸ Table 1 summarizes the national guidelines on preventive health care visits (Growth & Development check-ups) and vaccinations. In our sample, 23.09 % of children were delayed in vaccinations and 39.96 % had not complied with the annual scheduled visits of growth and development. These figures imply that only 44.56% of children were up-to-date with both immunizations and G&D check-ups.

The second dependent variable is the mother’s perception of the child’s need. This infor-

⁶Still, we might be losing some dimensions of the theoretical concept of need. For instance, Culyer and Wagstaff (1993) define need for health care as the minimum amount of resources required to exhaust capacity to benefit. Though very appealing, we cannot find a way to implement their concept of need.

⁷Other vaccinations are administered together with the DPT vaccine.

⁸Norma Técnica para la Detección Temprana de Alteraciones del Crecimiento y Desarrollo (Colombian Ministry of Health)

mation is recovered from mothers' answers to the questions on children's health care use (*In the last two weeks, have you taken the child to a health care professional?*). About 22.60% of children used professional health services during the two weeks previous to the interview. The remaining 87.40 % were asked about the main reason for not using medical care. Table 3 summarizes this information. Roughly 78 % of the mothers declared that "*the child did not need it*" or "*the child was not ill*". Financial constraints were reported as the main reason for not seeking health care by 15.74% in the sample. Problems of access related to distance to health provider or transportation precluded 2.7% of children from using health care⁹. The remaining children did not use health care due to parent's time constraints or other reasons. Our measure of mothers' perception of need is a binary variable equal to one if either the child used health care in the past two weeks or the reason for not seeking care was other than "*the child did not need it*" or "*the child was not ill*".

The last dependent variable is an indicator variable that equals to one if the child had at least one contact with health care services. Contacts can take place in ambulatory clinics, hospitals, emergency rooms, health centers or other public or private clinics. The type of service required may be either curative or preventive (regular check-ups or vaccinations). Table 2 shows that prevention was the main reason for using health care for approximately 45.3% of children in our sample.

Table 4 reports summary statistics for all the measures of children's need for health care, perception of need and use of medical services. About 81% of children in our sample were considered to be in need for health care during the two weeks previous to the interview. In only half of those cases the mother perceived positive benefits to take the the child to professional health care and only 57% of those that perceived positive benefits from professional health care used medical care.

⁹These results are consistent with other studies based on different dataset that show that financial restrictions and distance to health providers remain as the main problem to access health services (Homedes and Ugalde, 2005).

3.3 Identification strategy

In order to control for potential endogenous selection in need and perception, we have to impose a set of exclusion restrictions to obtain non-parametric identification. In particular, we need that at least one variable affecting children’s need for health care has no direct effect on both the mother’s perception and the child’s effective use of services. Similarly, we need that at least one variable in the equation of mother’s perception can be excluded from the use equation.

Our identification strategy exploits information on two institutional aspects: a) regulation on preventive care for children; and b) the nursery program *Hogares Comunitarios*.

Regulation on preventive care for children.—

In Figure 1, we observe that need by age exhibits a sawtooth pattern. The proportion of children in need for health care starts very high, with percentages close to 100%, then follows a decreasing trend that falls sharply around the 40 months of age reaching values between 60-70%. The proportion jumps up again at the age of 64 months taking values higher than 85%. Our identification strategy relies on the fact that the jumps in this variable reflects, at least in part, the intensity of recommended prevention. That is, different intensities of prevention established by the institutional calendar imply different levels of need for health care in children.

To implement this idea, we calculate the children’s age two weeks before the interview, that is just in the moment in which they are classified as having/not having need of health care. To control for the jumps in the intensity of prevention, we construct a new variable, IP_i , that assigns to child i the corresponding intensity of preventive care recommended for her age, i.e.:

$$IP_i = \sum_{j \in J} 1(\text{age_child}_i \in \text{age_group}_j) * A_j$$

where $J = \{<1 \text{ year}, 1 \text{ year}, 2 \text{ years}, 3 \text{ years}, 4 \text{ years}, 5 \text{ years}\}$ and A_j represents the number of preventive appointments (G&D and vaccination) recommended for a child that, at the beginning of the reference period (i.e. two weeks before the interview) was in the age

group j . Figure 2 shows that the discontinuities in the relationship between the variable IP and the child's age can be matched to the discontinuities between need for health care and age.

To use IP as an instrument, we must still provide arguments that it can be convincingly excluded from the perception and use stages. In our specification we include child's age polynomials in these two equations. These variables capture the possibility that a mother's perception of the benefits of professional care and her decision to take the child to the doctor vary with the child age nonlinearly but smoothly. We believe that, conditional on need, mother's perceptions and use of services will not change abruptly with respect to the child's age, and this is what we exploit in our identification strategy.

Non-medical health information.—

The instrument we use to identify the stage of mother's subjective perception is a source of health information other than that provided by health professionals. In particular, we use the community informational spillovers generated by the program *Hogares Comunitarios de Bienestar Familiar (HCBF)*.

The HCBF is a large nutrition programme in rural Colombia which started between 1984 and 1986. This programme is based on community nurseries where poor children receive food (purchased by the government) and child care from one of the mothers in the community. The *madre comunitaria* (or community mother) has to satisfy some criteria, such as having basic education, a large enough house and having been certified by the regional office of the ICBF. Each *madre* receives up to 15 children whose families pay a small monthly fee. The programme objectives included the improvement of the nutritional status of poor children as well as the provision of child care that could stimulate labour force participation of women and the generation of additional income (see Attanasio and Vera-Hernández, 2007 for results on the evaluation of this programme).

We use the average experience of *madre comunitarias* in the municipality as an exclusion restriction that is part of z but not w . The idea is that a more experienced *madre comunitaria* should alert mothers of when their child will benefit of professional health care. At the same

time, the program *Hogares Comunitarios* is not part of the health services and is not related to the Ministry of Health, and as such we assume that the *experience of madre comunitarias* will not directly related to either the quantity or quality of health care infrastructure that would be difficult to exclude from the last stage.

Explanatory variables.—

Table 3 presents a descriptive analysis of the main variables used in the analysis. There are a common set of variables that we include in the three equations: child’s characteristics (such as sex, age, birth order and height-for-age, as a proxy for child’s long-term health), mother’s and household characteristics and geographical characteristics.

A second set of variables refers to mother and household characteristics and includes mother’s age and her educational level. The effect of mother’s age is difficult to predict. Older women may have greater experience in relation to child health, but experience may lead to opposite effects: i) a positive effect on the likelihood of using pediatric health care, in particular preventive care, due to better information about its benefits, ii) a negative effect on the likelihood of using curative services because of their higher knowledge about home remedies.

The impact of maternal education on children’s health and pediatric care use may operate through different channels, such as the efficiency in child’s health production, the knowledge of health practices, attitudes or preferences toward health and medical care (Colle and Grossman, 1978, Thomas et al., 1991, Glewwe, 1999). More educated women provide better home assistance and identify when to seek attention outside home.

Besides school education, we include two variables capturing other sources of health information. The SFA asks mothers about two interesting aspects: a) their attendance to talks on hygiene, nutrition, vaccines or prenatal care; and b) their knowledge on how to proceed when the child has diarrhoea. We use the municipality average of this responses to measure the availability of health related knowledge in the municipality. Using this information at the individual level would raise endogeneity concerns because individuals that have recently visited a health care professional are more likely to have acquired health

related information.

Per capita expenditure is used as a measure of long-run income since it is considered a good proxy for permanent income.

The full price of health care can be decomposed in monetary costs, travel costs and time costs. The Law 100 created a national universal health insurance system which is composed of four tiers: i) a contribution social system financed by mandatory payroll taxes, ii) a subsidized¹⁰ social system which targets low-income families and is financed with general taxes. Eligibility for the subsidized system is based on the individual's income, and local county governments are responsible for identifying and enrolling low-income people in special sickness funds. Those not covered in the subsidized system receive health care mainly through the network of public hospitals. One-quarter to one-third of the Colombian health care system is financed through co-payments (Castano et al., 2002 Health Policy and Planning). In our sample, only 59% of children have formal insurance coverage¹¹. Since the identification and enrollment of low-income people in the subsidized system corresponds to county governments, rather than individuals, we assume the variable indicating whether the child has health insurance (subsidized system) as exogenous in our model.

We include a binary variable that indicates whether or not the household is receiving payments of the conditional transfer program Familias en Acción at the time of the survey. This is a program implemented by the Colombian government to foster human capital accumulation among poor children living in small municipalities. The program provides monetary transfers to mothers in beneficiary families, conditional on having completed some requirements: a) children under seven should be taken to growth and development

¹⁰In the contributed and subsidized systems, the affiliated individual chooses a pro-profit health insurance company (EPS) whose ownership may be public, private or mixed. The EPS, in turn, contracts health services with a network of service providers (IPS). These IPS may also be on any form of ownership, public, for profit or non-profit. Although the basic package of services delivered to the contributory and subsidized were expected to be equal by 2001, this aim has not been achieved.

¹¹Eligibility and enrollment of individuals in the subsidized system depends on the individual's income, the administrative capacity of the local government to identify low-income individuals, the county's budget, and the economic cost of the system (see Trujillo, 2003 for additional information).

check-ups; b) children between 7 and 17 years old should regularly attend school. Mothers are also encouraged to attend courses on hygiene, vaccination and contraception. Although at the time of this survey, the programme had been operating during a short period, it is important to control for its effect in our model as it is likely to affect health behavior.

Finally, social violence is also controlled for. For that purpose, we include a variable indicating whether or not there was a curfew in the municipality when the interviewers collected the data.

All continuous variables are standardized for the estimation.

4. RESULTS

The sample used in this work are likely to exhibit potential intra-cluster correlation within each municipality, because they are based on stratified sample survey methodology, with municipalities as the primary sampling unit. This correlation must be taken into account to obtain correct sampling variances of the regression coefficients, otherwise the statistical significance of estimated coefficients may be overstated. In our estimates, we use “cluster robust” standard errors obtained by adapting the so-called Eicker-White robust variance estimator to handle clustered data.

Table 6 presents the maximum likelihood coefficient estimates of the three-stage model. For the sake of comparison, we also present the estimation results of a standard probit model for unconditional use of health care and the estimation results of a two-stage model. This last specification decomposes the use decision into two stages: the first one models need for health care and second one models the use of health care conditional on the child being in need. Since the main purpose of our paper is to show that the three stage model unmask some relations that more simple models will not, we will focus on explanatory variables that have different impacts at each stage of the decision. Notice that the coefficients shown for continuous variables are on the standardized variables.

Mother’s education and health information.—

Our results show that the perceived benefits from professional health care are smaller in

municipalities where a higher proportion of mothers know how to treat diarrhoea. Similarly, the perceived benefits from professional health care are smaller for mothers with higher education. These two results are consistent with the idea that the second stage of the three-stage model is actually measuring the perceived benefits from professional health care, and consequently, it is decreasing in variables that increases the mother's knowledge about how to treat illnesses. Comparing across models, mother's education plays a more clear role in the three stage model than in either the two-stage or the standard probit model where it is not be statistically different from zero at 5%. The use of the three stage model also helps to interpret why these variables influence health care.

Income.—

According to our results, the probability of health care use conditional on perceiving positive benefits from professional health care is increasing in the level of household consumption. However, consumption does not influence either of the remaining stages. We might have expected to find a negative association between need and household consumption, but we do not find this probably because either we condition on other health status variables such as child's height for age, or because our sample is restricted to poor individuals. It is interesting to note that household consumption does not influence the perceived benefits from professional health care but education does as we showed before.

Participation in the *Familias en Accion* program.—

Our models include a dichotomous variable indicating whether the child participates in the Familias en Acción program at the moment of the interview. Here we do not attempt to do an evaluation of the program that has already been done elsewhere (Attanasio et al 2004 and 2006). The results are interesting because they show how the two and three-stage models provide us with a more complex picture than the standard Probit model. The probit estimates show that the program is associated with an increase in health care use. The two and three stage models show that the program decreased the need for health care and increased health care use conditional on need. These two results are consistent with what

we already know about the program. According to (Attanasio et al 2004 and 2006), the program improved children nutritional status and improved the percentage of children that are up to date with preventive Growth and Development check ups. This is consistent with our result in Table 6 that the program decreases the need for professional health care at a given point in time. Because the program pays mothers for taking the kids to preventive health care, the cost of preventive health care decreases considerably with the program. This is associated with the increase in use conditional on need that is reported in Table 6. However, the program does not seem to have influenced the perception of benefits from professional health care.

Violence.—

The coefficient of the variable violence in the probit model is negative but not statistically significant different from zero at 5%. The three stage model shows us a more complex picture. Problems of violence in the municipality increases the need for health care, but it decreases the use of health care conditional on the perceived benefits from professional health care being larger than zero. In this case, the two-stage model provide us with results similar to the three stage model.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we propose a three stage model for the demand for health care to unravel the determinants of health care use. In the first stage, the need for health care is determined. In the second stage, the mother perceives whether or not the benefits from professional health care are positive. In the third stage, the mother decides whether or not to use health care conditional on the second stage. In an application to a sample of poor Colombian children, the three stage model shows that the existence of violence in the municipality, mother's education, knowledge about treatment diarrhoea, the presence of a conditional cash transfer program and household consumption are important factors in the demand for health care.

The three-stage model provides a natural way to estimate barriers to health care. The

concept of barriers to health care is widely used in the literature but is probably not formally defined. Our interpretation is that barriers to health care are those factors that prevents people from using profesional health care when they wished so. In our context, this is given by the effect of variables such as distance to the facilities, household consumption, health insurance, on the probability of using health care conditional on the perceived benefits from professional health care being positive (marginal effects). It is interesting to note that the parameters that we are after cannot be identified solely from an experiment. For instance, a cash transfer to households is likely to influence both need for health care and the use of health care conditional on need. Consequently, a model is necessary to disentangle the effect that will have in each of these stages. At the same time, nothing prevents using randomized variables as part of the regressors of the model.

REFERENCES

- [1] Akin, J.S., D.K. Guilkey and M. McIntosh (1998) "Price Elasticity of Demand for Curative Care with Control for Sample Selectivity of Endogenous Illness: An Analysis for Sri Lanka" *Health Economics* 7: 509-553.
- [2] Attanasio, O. et al. (2003) "Baseline Report on the Evaluation of Familias en Accion" Center for the Evaluation of Developing Policies. Institute For Fiscal Studies. (http://www.ifs.org.uk/edepo/wps/familias_accion.pdf)
- [3] Baker, D. (1990) "The fetal and infant origins of adult disease" *British Medical Journal* 301, 1111.
- [4] Brown, J. and E. Pollitt, (1996) "Malnutrition, Poverty and Intellectual Development" *Scientific American* 274(2), 38-43.
- [5] Case, A., D. Lubotsky and C. Paxson (2002) "Economic Status and Health in Childhood: The Origins of the Gradient" *The American Economic Review* 92(5): 1308-1334.
- [6] Colle, A. D. and M. Grossman (1978) "Determinants of Pediatric Care Utilization" *The Journal of Human Resources* 13 (suppl.): 115-158.
- [7] Currie, J. and M. Stabile (2003) "Socioeconomic Status and Child Health: Why is the Relation Stronger for Older Children" *American Economic Review* 93(5):1813-1923.
- [8] Commission on Macroeconomics and Health (2001) "Macroeconomics and Health: investing in health for economic development". Geneva. World Health Organization.
- [9] Glewwe, P. (1999) "Why Does Mother's Schooling Raise Child Health in Developing Countries? Evidence from Morocco" *The Journal of Human Resources*, 34(1): 124-159.
- [10] Lavy, V., J. Strauss, D. Thomas and P. de Vreyer (1996) "Quality of Health Care, Survival and Health Outcomes in Ghana" *Journal of Health Economics* 15: 333-457.

- [11] Martorell, R. and J. Habicht (1986) "Growth in Early Childhood in Developing Countries" in Falkner, F. and J. Tanner (eds), "Human Growth: A Comprehensive Treatise" 2nd edition, Vol 3. Methodology: Ecological, Genetic, and nutritional effects on Growth. New York and London, Plenum Press, pp 241-263.
- [12] Montoya, M.D. (2002) "Socio-Economic Health Inequalities in Brazil: Gender and Age Effects" *Health Economics* 11: 141-154.
- [13] Ordóñez Gómez, M. and R. Murad (2002) "La Salud de los niños menores de cinco años en Colombia. Estudio en profundidad de la Encuesta Nacional de Demografía y Salud". Profamilia
- [14] Mwabu, G., M. Ainsworth and A. Nyamete (1993) "Quality of Medical Care and Choice of Medical Treatment in Kenya: An Empirical Analysis" *The Journal of Human Resources*, 28(4).
- [15] Onis, M., Fongillo, E. and M. Blossner (2000) "Is malnutrition declining? An analysis of changes in level of malnutrition since 1980" *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 78(10), 1222-33.
- [16] Plaza B., A.B. Barona and N. Hearst (2001) "Managed Competition for the Poor or Poorly Managed Competition? Lessons from the Colombian Health Reform Experience" *Health Policy and Planning*, 16(Suppl 2): 44-51.
- [17] Rodríguez-Monguío, R. and A. Infante (2003) "Universal Health Care for Colombians 10 Years after Law 100: Challenges and Opportunities" *Health Policy*, (in press).
- [18] Strauss, J. and D. Thomas (1995a) "Human Resources: Empirical Modelling of Household and family decisions", in *Handbook of development economics*, Vol. 3A. Eds.: T. Srinivasan and J. Behrman. Amsterdam: North Holland Press, pp 1883-2023.
- [19] Strauss, J. and D. Thomas (1998) "Health, Nutrition and Economic Development" *Journal of Economic Literature* 36(2): 766-817.

- [20] Thomas, D., J. Strauss and M.H. Henriques (1991) "How Does Mother's Education Affect Child Height?" *The Journal of Human Resources*, 26(2): 183-211.
- [21] van de Ven, W. and B. van Praag (1981) "The Demand for Deductibles in Private Health Insurance: A Probit Model with Sample Selection" *Journal of Econometrics*, 17: 229.
- [22] Vermehren, A. (2003) "Conditional Cash Transfer Programs. An Effective Tool for Reaching the Poorest and Most Vulnerable." En Breve. Worldbank. Available at <http://www1.worldbank.org/sp/safetynets/publications/cct.asp>
- [23] Wagstaff, A. (2003). "Child Health on a Dollar a Day: Some Tentative Cross-Country Comparisons", *Social Science and Medicine*, 57: 1529-1538.
- [24] Wagstaff, A. and E. Van Doorslaer (2000). "Equity in Health Care Finance and Delivery", in Culyer, A. and J. Newhouse (eds) *Handbook of Health Economics*, vol. 1, pp. 1803-1862.
- [25] Culyer, A. (1976) "Need and the National Health Service: Economics and Social Choice. (Martin Robertson, Oxford).
- [26] Williams, A. (1974) "Need as a demand concept (with special reference to health)", in A. Culyer, ed., *Economics Policies and Social Goals: Aspectsof Public Choice* (Martin Robertson, London).
- [27] Williams, A. (1978) "Need - an economic exegensis", in: A. Culyer and K. Wright, eds. *Economic Aspects of Health Services* (Martin Robertson, London).

APPENDIX

THREE-STAGE MODEL: Likelihood function

$$s_i^* = x_i' \alpha + \nu_i, \quad (7)$$

$$b_i^* = z_i' \beta + \varepsilon_i \quad \text{if } s_i^* > 0 \quad (8)$$

$$m_i^* = w_i' \gamma + \eta_i \quad \text{if } s_i^* > 0 \text{ and } b_i^* > 0, \quad (9)$$

The contribution to the likelihood function for individual i if she does not have any need for health care is:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-x_i' \alpha} f_{\nu}(\nu_i) d\nu_i$$

The contribution to the likelihood function for individual i if she does have some need for health care but does not have positive gross profits from professional health care is

$$\int_{-x_i' \alpha}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-z_i' \beta} f_{\nu \varepsilon}(\nu_i, \varepsilon_i) d\varepsilon_i d\nu_i$$

The contribution to the likelihood function for individual i if she has some need for health care and positive gross profits from professional health care but she does not use health care is:

$$\int_{-x_i' \alpha}^{+\infty} \int_{-z_i' \beta}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-w_i' \gamma} f_{\nu \varepsilon \eta}(\nu_i, \varepsilon_i, \eta_i) d\varepsilon_i d\nu_i d\eta_i$$

The contribution to the likelihood function for individual i if she has some need for health care, positive gross profits from professional health care and does use health care is:

$$\int_{-x_i' \alpha}^{+\infty} \int_{-z_i' \beta}^{+\infty} \int_{-w_i' \gamma}^{+\infty} f_{\nu \varepsilon \eta}(\nu_i, \varepsilon_i, \eta_i) d\varepsilon_i d\nu_i d\eta_i$$

TABLE 1: Child preventive care timetable

Age	Growth and development check-ups		Vaccines	
	Number	Periodicity	Number	Doses
<1 year	4	Every 2 months	13	1 tuberculosis, 3 hepatitis B 3 DPT, 3 polio, 3 meningitis
1 year	3	Every 3 months	4	1 measles-mimps-rubella, 1 meningitis, 1 DPT, 1 polio
2 years	2	Every 5 months	–	–
3 years	1	–	–	–
4 years	1	–	–	–
5 years	2	Every 5 months	2	1 polio, 1 DPT

Source: Ministerio de Salud colombiano. Vaccines include diphteria-tetanus-pertussis (DTP), polio, measles-mimps-rubella, Haemophilus influezae type B).

TABLE 2: Need for health care

	Mother's schooling			All
	No formal education	Less than primary	Primary or more	
Illness				
Diarrhoea	17.02	16.43	15.79	16.28
Respiratory illness	48.17	44.73	45.84	45.65
Other illnesses	16.49	17.07	18.60	15.55
Prevention				
Delay in vaccinations	31.28	23.13	18.35	22.70
Incomplete G&D check-ups	44.24	37.57	34.87	38.48
<i>Need for health care (curative or preventive)</i>	84.82	81.08	80.34	81.36
<i>Only curative</i>	20.29	24.53	28.25	25.26
<i>Only preventive</i>	25.52	23.13	21.25	22.79
<i>Both</i>	37.31	31.62	29.78	31.79
N	764	2495	1887	5146

Source: Baseline survey of Familias en Accin, 2002.

TABLE 3: Children’s use of health care: reasons for contact and no-contact

	Mother’s schooling			All
	No formal education	Less than primary	Primary or more	
# Children who used health care	162	521	478	1,161
Main reason for contact (% over users)				
Illness	67.90	66.41	64.43	65.72
Vaccination or G&D check-up	15.43	17.08	17.16	16.88
Other (screening, control....)	14.67	16.51	18.41	17.4
# Children who did not use health care	602	1,974	1,409	3,985
Main reason for no contact (% over non-users)				
No need	25.91	33.69	39.82	34.68
Not ill	42.86	43.11	43.86	43.34
Distance to health provider	3.32	2.79	2.13	2.63
Time constraints	0.83	1.17	0.85	1.00
Monetary constraints	24.95	17.02	10.50	15.91
Other reasons	2.00	2.23	2.76	2.39
N	764	2,495	1,887	5,146

TABLE 4: Children’s need for health care, mothers’ perception and use of services

	Yes	No
Need for care (% over total children)	4187 (81.36)	959 (18.64)
Perception of the benefits of formal health care (% over those in need for care)	2037 (50.24)	
Use of health care (% over those who perceive benefits)	1161 (57)	

TABLE 5: Descriptive statistics of explanatory variables

	Users		Non-users		All	
	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.
Child characteristics						
Girl	0.497		0.481		0.484	
Age (in months)	38.022		42.086		41.341	
Birth order	5.373		5.520		5.487	
Height-for-age	-1.176		-1.261		-1.242	
Health insurance (subsidized system)	0.699		0.566		0.596	
Mother and household characteristics						
Age	31.074		31.184		31.158	
No formal education	0.139		0.151		0.148	
Primary education (incomplete)	0.448		0.495		0.485	
Primary education (complete)	0.194		0.178		0.182	
More than primary education	0.217		0.175		0.185	
Per capita total consumption	4.182		4.054		4.082	
‡ female adults in the household	1.196		1.172		1.177	
Participation in Familias en Acción	0.355		0.313		0.323	
Municipal variables						
% of women who attended talks on health issues	0.356		0.308		0.319	
% of women who knows treatment for diarrhea	0.216		0.211		0.213	
‡ health centers (per 100,000 inhabitants)	4.314		3.900		3.994	
Urban area						
Rural disperse area	0.411		0.499		0.479	
Rural concentrated area	0.081		0.107		0.101	
Region: Atlántico						
Oriental	0.217		0.208		0.210	
Central	0.237		0.289		0.277	
Pacífico	0.125		0.136		0.133	

TABLE 6: Maximum likelihood estimates

	Standard probit	Two-stage model		Three stage model		
	Use	Need	Use	Need	Perception	Use
Child characteristics						
Girl	0.0311 (0.044)	-0.048 (0.039)	0.039 (0.046)	-0.055 (0.038)	0.008 (0.035)	0.048 (0.065)
Child's age (months)	-0.878 (0.222)	2.172 (0.330)	-0.787 (0.245)	2.162 (0.312)	-0.391 (0.215)	-1.008 (0.344)
Child's age ²	0.239 (0.0.626)	-8.116 (0.885)	1.174 (0.634)	-8.226 (0.866)	0.334 (0.545)	1.830 (0.858)
Child's age ³	0.352 (0.455)	5.595 (0.629)	-0.566 (0.407)	5.699 (0.613)	-0.097 (0.347)	-0.986 (0.561)
Birth order	-0.028 (0.030)	0.056 (0.030)	-0.031 (0.029)	0.056 (0.030)	-0.016 (0.025)	-0.065 (0.042)
Height-for-age	0.013 (0.023)	0.003 (0.020)	0.015 (0.022)	0.004 (0.023)	-0.018 (0.020)	0.045 (0.035)
Health insurance (subsidized system)	0.381 (0.050)	-0.203 (0.063)	0.405 (0.050)	-0.201 (0.063)	0.053 (0.049)	0.686 (0.088)
Mother and household characteristics						
Mother's age	-0.073 (0.166)	-0.610 (0.194)	-0.090 (0.158)	-0.645 (0.196)	-0.123 (0.156)	0.197 (0.247)
Mother' age ²	0.096 (0.166)	0.595 (0.181)	0.115 (0.162)	0.629 (0.183)	0.141 (0.152)	-0.159 (0.254)
Some primary education	-0.040 (0.070)	-0.098 (0.0724)	-0.052 (0.070)	-0.083 (0.074)	-0.119 (0.064)	0.002 (0.137)
Primary education or higher	-0.028 (0.080)	-0.116 (0.080)	-0.033 (0.081)	-0.099 (0.080)	-0.150 (0.068)	0.076 (0.176)
log(Per capita total consumption)	0.108 (0.027)	0.018 (0.0243)	0.109 (0.028)	0.009 (0.025)	-0.022 (0.022)	0.248 (0.078)
# female adults in the household	0.040 (0.022)	-0.027 (0.023)	0.043 (0.023)	-0.027 (0.023)	-0.005 (0.023)	0.096 (0.038)
Participation in Familias en Acción	0.126 (0.072)	-0.301 (0.065)	0.124 (0.077)	-0.293 (0.062)	-0.055 (0.0650)	0.305 (0.125)
Municipal variables						
% women who attended health talks	0.146 (0.032)	0.041 (0.037)	0.157 (0.032)	0.049 (0.038)	0.083 (0.031)	0.193 (0.068)
% women who know treatment for diarrhea	-0.087 (0.030)	-0.042 (0.033)	-0.094 (0.031)	-0.047 (0.033)	-0.083 (0.026)	-0.055 (0.085)
Violence in the municipality	-0.122 (0.079)	0.132 (0.065)	-0.140 (0.080)	0.144 (0.063)	-0.052 (0.061)	-0.205 (0.110)
# health centers (per 100,000 inh.)	0.045 (0.023)	-0.015 (0.021)	0.047 (0.025)	-0.012 (0.021)	0.010 (0.026)	0.082 (0.044)
Instruments						
Intensity of prevention	-0.206 (0.066)	-0.185 (0.090)	-	-0.209 (0.083)	-	-
Average experience of "Madres Comunitarias"	0.052 (0.033)	0.071 (0.038)	-	0.085 (0.035)	0.086 (0.029)	-

Explanatory variables also include 3 dummy variables for regions and 2 dummy variables for rural/urban.. Correlation parameter estimates: 1) Two-stage: 0.738 (0.195); 2) Three-stage: $\rho_1=0.891$ (0.096). $\rho_2=0.283$ (1.003), $\rho_3=0.181$ (1.026)

FIGURE 1: Need, perception and use (% of children)





FIGURE 2: Identification strategy: intensity of prevention and need for health care



Intensity of prevention is an index variable computed as shown in equation []. Need for health is the percentage of children that are classified as having need for either curative or preventive health care in the sample.

Relación de Documentos de Trabajo publicados

- 9901 Philippe Polomé: Experimental Evidence on Voting Manipulation in Referendum Contingent Valuation with Induced Value
- 9902 Xosé M. González e Daniel Miles: Análisis Envoltante de Datos: Un Estudio de Sensibilidad
- 9903 Philippe Polomé: Combining contingent valuation and revealed preferences by simulated maximum likelihood
- 9904 Eva Rodríguez: Social value of health programs: is the age a relevant factor?
- 9905 Carlos Gradín e M^a Soledad Giráldez: Incorporación laboral de la mujer en España: efecto sobre la desigualdad en la renta familiar
- 9906 Carlos Gradín: Polarization by sub-populations in Spain, 1973-91
- 9907 Carlos Gradín: Polarization and inequality in Spain: 1973-91
- 0001 Olga Alonso e José María Chamorro: How do producer services affect the location of manufacturing firms?. The role of información accesibility
- 0002 Coral del Río Otero: Desigualdad Intermedia Paretiana
- 0003 Miguel Rodríguez Méndez: Margins, Unions and the Business Cycle in High and Low Concentrated Industries
- 0004 Olga Alonso Villar: Large metropolies in the Third World: an explanation
- 0005 Xulia González e Daniel Miles: Wage Inequality in a Developing Country: Decrease of Minimum Wage or Increase of Education Returns
- 0006 Daniel Miles: Infrecuencia de las Compras y Errores de Medida
- 0007 Lucy Amigo: Integración de los Mercados de Cambio: Análisis rentabilidad-riesgo de la cotización Peseta/Dólar
- 0008 Eduardo L. Giménez e Manuel González-Gómez: Efficient Allocation of Land Between Productive Use and Recreational Use.
- 0009 Manuel González-Gómez, P.Palomé e A. Prada Blanco: Sesgo sobre la Información Obtenida y la Estimación de Beneficios en Entrevistas a Visitantes de un Espacio Natural
- 0010 M. Xosé Vázquez Rodríguez e Carmelo León: Preferencias Imprecisas y Contexto en la Valoración de Cambios en la Salud.
- 0011 Begoña Alvarez: Can we Identify Fraudulent Behaviour?. An Application to Sickness Absence in Spain
- 0012 Xulia González, Xosé M. González e Daniel Miles: La Transición de la Universidad al Trabajo: una Aproximación Empírica.
- 0013 Olga Cantó: Climbing out of poverty, Falling back in: Low Incomes' Stability in Spain
- 0101 Arancha Murillas: Investment and Development of Fishing Resources: A Real Options Approach
- 0102 Arancha Murillas: Sole Ownership and Common Property Under Management Flexibility: Valuation, Optimal Exploitation and Regulation
- 0103 Olga Alonso Villar; José-María Chamorro Rivas e Xulia González Cerdeira: An análisis of the Geographic Concentration of Industry in Spain
- 0104 Antonio Molina Abrales e Juan Pinto-Clapés: A Complete Characterization of Pareto Optimality for General OLG Economies
- 0105 José María Chamorro Rivas: Communications technology and the incentives of firms to suburbanize
- 0106 Lucy Amigo Dobaño e Francisco Rodríguez de Prado: Incidencia del efecto día en los valores tecnológicos en España

- 0107 Eva Rodríguez-Míguez; C. Herrero e J. L. Pinto-Prades: Using a point system in the management of waiting lists: the case of cataracts
- 0108 Xosé M. González e D. Miles: Análisis de los incentivos en el empleo público
- 0109 Begoña Álvarez e D. Miles: Gender effect on housework allocation: evidence from spanish two-earned couples
- 0110 Pilar Abad: Transmisión de volatilidad a lo largo de la estructura temporal de swaps: evidencia internacional
- 0111 Pilar Abad: Inestabilidad en la relación entre los tipos forward y los tipos de contado futuros en la estructura temporal del mercado de swaps de tipos de interés
- 0112 Xulia González, Consuelo Pazó e Jordi Jaumandreu: Barriers to innovation and subsidies effectiveness
- 0201 Olga Cantó, Coral del Río e Carlos Gradín: What helps households with children in leaving poverty?: Evidence from Spain in contrast with other EU countries
- 0202 Olga Alonso-Villar, José María Chamorro-Rivas e Xulia González: Agglomeration economies in manufacturing industries: the case of Spain
- 0203 Lucy Amigo Dobaño, Marcos Álvarez Díaz e Francisco Rodríguez de Prado: Efficiency in the spanish stock market. A test of the weak hypothesis based on cluster prediction technique
- 0204 Jaime Alonso-Carrera e María Jesús Freire-Serén: Multiple equilibria, fiscal policy, and human capital accumulation
- 0205 Marcos Álvarez Díaz e Alberto Álvarez: Predicción no-lineal de tipos de cambio. Aplicación de un algoritmo genético
- 0206 María J. Moral: Optimal multiproduct prices in differentiated product market
- 0207 Jaime Alonso-Carrera y Baltasar Manzano: Análisis dinámico del coste de bienestar del sistema impositivo español. Una explotación cuantitativa
- 0208 Xulia González e Consuelo Pazó: Firms' R&D dilemma: to undertake or not to undertake R&D
- 0209 Begoña Álvarez: The use of medicines in a comparative study across European interview-based surveys
- 0210 Begoña Álvarez: Family illness, work absence and gender
- 0301 Marcos Álvarez-Díaz e Alberto Álvarez: Predicción no-lineal de tipos de cambio: algoritmos genéticos, redes neuronales y fusión de datos
- 0302 Marcos Álvarez-Díaz, Manuel González Gómez e Alberto Álvarez: Using data-driven prediction methods in a hedonic regression problem
- 0303 Marcos Álvarez-Díaz e Lucy Amigo Dobaño: Predicción no lineal en el mercado de valores tecnológicos español. Una verificación de la hipótesis débil de eficiencia
- 0304 Arantza Murillas Maza: Option value and optimal rotation policies for aquaculture exploitations
- 0305 Arantza Murillas Maza: Interdependence between pollution and fish resource harvest policies
- 0306 Pilar Abad: Un contraste alternativo de la hipótesis de las expectativas en Swaps de tipos de interés
- 0307 Xulio Pardellas de Blas e Carmen Padín Fabeiro: A tourist destination planning and design model: application to the area around the Miño river in the south of Galicia and the north of Portugal
- 0308 Lucy Amigo Dobaño e Francisco Rodríguez de Prado: Alteraciones en el comportamiento bursátil de las acciones de empresas tecnológicas inducidas por el vencimiento de derivados

- 0309 Raquel Arévalo Tomé e José María Chamorro Rivas: A Quality Index for Spanish Housing
- 0310 Xulia González e Ruben Tansini: Eficiencia técnica en la industria española: tamaño, I+D y localización
- 0311 Jaime Alonso Carrera e José-María Chamorro Rivas: Environmental fiscal competition under product differentiation and endogenous firm location
- 0312 José Carlos Álvarez Villamarín, M^a José Caride Estévez e Xosé Manuel González Martínez: Demanda de transporte. Efectos del cambio en la oferta ferroviaria del corredor Galicia-Madrid
- 0313 José Carlos Álvarez Villamarín, M^a José Caride Estévez e Xosé Manuel González Martínez: Análisis coste-beneficio de la conexión Galicia-Madrid con un servicio de Alta Velocidad.
- 0401 María José Caride e Eduardo L. Giménez: Thaler's "all-you-can-eat" puzzle: two alternative explanations.
- 0402 Begoña Álvarez e Daniel Miles: Husbands' Housework Time: Does Wives' Paid Employment Make a Difference?
- 0403 María José Caride e Eduardo L. Giménez: Leisure and Travel Choice.
- 0404 Raquel Arévalo Tomé e José María Chamorro-Rivas: Credible collusion in a model of spatial competition.
- 0405 Coral del Río Otero, Carlos Gradín Lago e Olga Cantó Sánchez: El enfoque distributivo en el análisis de la discriminación salarial por razón de género.
- 0406 Olga Alonso Villar: Ciudades y globalización en la Nueva Geografía Económica.
- 0407 Olga Alonso Villar: The effects of transport costs revisited
- 0408 Xavier Labandeira e Miguel Rodríguez: The effects of a sudden CO₂ reduction in Spain.
- 0409 Gema Álvarez Llorente, M^a Soledad Otero Giráldez, Alberto Rodríguez Casal e Jacobo de Uña Álvarez: La duración del desempleo de la mujer casada en Galicia.
- 0410 Jacobo de Uña-Álvarez, Gema Álvarez-Llorente e M^a Soledad Otero-Giráldez: Estimation of time spent in unemployment for married women: An application at regional level.
- 0411 M^a José Moral: Modelos empíricos de oligopolio con producto diferenciado: un panorama.
- 0412 M^a José Moral: An approach to the demand of durable and differentiated products.
- 0501 Raquel Arévalo-Tomé e José-María Chamorro-Rivas: Location as an instrument for social welfare improvement in a spatial model of Cournot competition.
- 0502 Olga Alonso-Villar: The effects of transport costs within the new economic geography.
- 0503 Raquel Arévalo Tomé, M^a Soledad Otero Giráldez e Jacobo de Uña Álvarez: Estimación de la duración residencial a partir del periodo de ocupación declarado por los hogares españoles.
- 0504 Olga Alonso-Villar, Coral de Río e Luis Toharia: Un análisis espacial del desempleo a nivel municipal.
- 0601 Xulia González, Consuelo Pazó: Do public subsidies stimulate private R&D spending?
- 0602 Lucy Amigo Dobaño: Anomalías de los mercados financieros. Análisis de las empresas gallegas que cotizan en el mercado de renta variable.
- 0603 Daniel Miles Touya: Can we teach civic attitudes?

- 0604** Jacobo de Uña Álvarez, Raquel Arévalo Tomé e M^a Soledad Otero Giráldez: Advances in the estimation of households' duration of residence.
- 0605** Pilar Abad Romero, Begoña Álvarez García, Eva Rodríguez Míguez e Antonio Rodríguez Sampayo: Una aplicación de los sistemas de puntos en la priorización de pacientes en lista de espera quirúrgica.
- 0606** Coral del Río, Carlos Gradín e Olga Cantó: Pobreza y discriminación salarial por razón de género en España.
- 0607** Xulia González : Some empirical regularities on vertical restraints.
- 0608** José María Chamorro Rivas: Shopping hours and bundling as an entry barrier.
- 0701** Lucy Amigo Dobaño e M^a Dolores Garza Gil: La flota artesanal gallega. Análisis de la cadena de distribución.
- 0702** Coral del Río e Olga Alonso-Villar: Diferencias entre mujeres y hombres en el mercado de trabajo: desempleo y salarios.
- 0703** Coral del Río e Olga Alonso-Villar: Una reflexión sobre las medidas de desigualdad intermedias.
- 0704** Begoña Álvarez e Marcos Vera-Hernández: Unravelling the determinants of the non-use of health care.